Drugging the ‘undruggable’ MYCN oncogenic transcription factor: Overcoming previous obstacles to impact childhood cancers*
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Abstract

Effective treatment of pediatric solid tumors has been hampered by the predominance of currently ‘undruggable’ driver transcription factors. Improving outcomes while decreasing the toxicity of treatment necessitates the development of novel agents that can directly inhibit or degrade these elusive targets. MYCN in pediatric neural-derived tumors, including neuroblastoma and medulloblastoma, is a paradigmatic example of this problem. Attempts to directly and specifically target MYCN have failed due to its similarity to MYC, the unstructured nature of MYC family proteins in their monomeric form, the lack of an understanding of MYCN-interacting proteins and ability to test their relevance in vivo, the inability to obtain structural information on MYCN protein complexes, and the challenges of using traditional small molecules to inhibit protein-protein or protein-DNA interactions. However, there is now promise for directly targeting MYCN based on scientific and technological advances on all of these fronts. Here we discuss prior challenges and the reasons for renewed optimism in directly targeting this ‘undruggable’ transcription factor, which we hope will lead to improved outcomes for pediatric cancer patients and create a framework for targeting driver oncoproteins regulating gene transcription.

MYCN as an attractive drug target

Transcription factors in the MYC family are dysregulated in the majority of human tumors, including most pediatric malignancies (1,2). This family is composed of three genes, MYC (c-MYC), MYCN (n-MYC), and MYCL, with conserved roles in central cellular processes including regulating transcription, metabolism, and cell division. Whereas MYC is altered across a wide range of cancers, MYCN has a more narrow role—primarily as a driver of pediatric malignancies derived from central and peripheral nervous system tissues, including neuroblastoma, medulloblastoma, retinoblastoma, astrocytoma, atypical teratoid rhabdoid...
tumors (ATRTs), and glioblastoma multiforme, among others, with emerging roles as a driver of therapy-resistant neuroendocrine variants of lung and prostate cancer (2).

MYCN is in many ways an ideal therapeutic target. Unlike MYC, its physiologic expression is tightly lineage restricted during development, with limited expression in normal pediatric or adult tissues, suggesting a wide therapeutic index for MYCN-specific drugs. When present in tumors, MYCN amplification is generally thought to be a truncal initiating event that is required for ongoing tumor maintenance. It is rarely a subclonal finding and is not acquired or lost during tumor progression or relapse. In addition, transgenic expression of MYCN in the appropriate progenitor cells in mouse models can drive tumorigenesis that faithfully recapitulates human neuroblastoma and medulloblastoma, respectively (3,4).

Despite intensive investigative efforts, indirectly targeting modulators of MYCN transcription and stability or the downstream mediators of MYCN function has failed to result in the identification of MYCN-specific therapeutics. For example, there was initial optimism that Bromodomain and Extra-Terminal (BET) protein inhibitors could serve as universal and specific MYC-targeting drugs (5). However, it was clear early on that also impacted MYCN (6) and MYCL (7). Preclinical data in neuroblastoma models showed tumor growth delay in some models, but no anti-tumor activity in others, with likewise variable influence on MYCN protein levels (8,9). In addition, while there has been some clinical efficacy in early adult trials, most notably in NUT midline carcinoma with a canonical BRD4-NUT fusion oncoprotein (10), objective response rates have been low and mostly transient in other diseases (11,12) and it has become clear that tumor cells can adapt in ways that restore MYC despite continued BET inhibition (13,14).
Another illustrative example is the interaction between Aurora Kinase A (AURKA) and MYCN and the effects of AURKA inhibitors. Early preclinical testing showed excellent activity of the AURKA inhibitor alisertib (MLN8237) in pediatric solid tumors (15), though this was independent of MYCN status. In addition, MYC-driven tumors were also sensitive to AURKA inhibition (16). Subsequent clinical testing in neuroblastoma demonstrated substantial toxicity and largely disappointing responses (17). However, AURKA binds to MYCN and sequesters it from degradation independent of its kinase activity (18). This raises the possibility that targeting this scaffolding function of AURKA may be more effective and more specific for MYCN, as the AURKA binding domain of MYCN is poorly conserved with MYC (19) and a similar interaction between AURKA and MYC has not been as well characterized (20). Small molecules have been identified that bind to AURKA and alter its conformation in a way that prevents binding to MYCN and result in rapid MYCN degradation (21). More recently, a chemical degrader approach has also been taken (22). While targeting the synthetic lethal interaction between MYCN and the kinase activity of AURKA has to-date failed to provide an efficacious and specific therapeutic, it remains possible that targeting the MYCN stabilizing function of the AURKA-MYCN complex will prove more successful. These and other examples support the hypothesis that sustained and specific inhibition of MYCN activity will require direct targeting of the deregulated protein or the MYCN complex.

**Barriers to direct and specific inhibition**

Directly targeting MYCN poses substantial challenges that can be generalized to many transcription factors, but also some challenges that are unique to MYCN. Like many transcription factors, MYCN functions in the nucleus, has no known enzymatic function, and mediates its effects in the context of several multi-protein complexes that involve numerous protein-protein interactions and protein-DNA interactions. The protein-protein interaction surfaces in particular tend to be large and lack the defined hydrophobic pockets typically
targeted by drug-like small molecules, and complex formation tends to involve cooperation of multiple low-affinity interactions that are individually difficult to target.

MYCN also poses some unique challenges as a drug target. The N-terminal transcription activating domains of MYC family proteins are intrinsically disordered in their monomeric forms (23,24), and the C-terminal basic helix-loop-helix-leucine zipper (bHLH-LZ) domain lacks deep hydrophobic pockets (25). Certain N-terminal domains become structured in complex with binding partners, enabling limited structural studies of these domains (26,27), but interactome studies have identified hundreds of interacting proteins (28,29). Lastly, MYCN is highly homologous to MYC and MYCL within the basic helix-loop-helix-leucine zipper (bHLH-LZ) domain and the 5 MYC boxes that have been shown to mediate much of MYC family protein function (2,28,30). Although there are some clear functional differences between MYC and MYCN, particularly with regard to their respective interactions with MIZ1 (31), it remains a conceptual challenge to inhibit the oncogenic function of MYCN while preserving the physiologic functions of MYC, including those in normal cell division and in wound healing.

Although these challenges remain formidable, a clearly appealing approach (outlined in Figure 1) would be to identify small molecules that bind to MYCN in complex with an essential and specific binding partner, using structural information to guide drug design and/or optimization, then link the small molecule to an E3 ligase binder to induce MYCN degradation. Here we discuss the prior difficulties with such an approach and the scientific and technological advances that may now make it feasible. These concepts were discussed at a meeting in November of 2019 that brought together experts in pediatric cancer, MYC and MYCN biology, structural biology, biochemistry, medicinal chemistry, and protein degradation technology to address the goal of directly targeting MYCN.
Targeting MYCN in its oncogenic context

Modern target-based drug discovery has relied on identifying the structure of fragments or lead molecules bound to their target protein, which then allows for the rational optimization of the molecule to improve potency while preserving and/or improving physico-chemical drug-like properties. This process cannot be applied to intrinsically-disordered proteins such as MYC-family proteins in their monomeric form. Although there have been efforts – and some progress – in targeting the intrinsically disordered state of MYC (23,32), the compounds developed to date have generally suffered from low potency and have not yet been turned successfully into credible lead drug compounds. However, it has long been appreciated that MYC-family proteins require binding partners to exert their tumorigenic function, and individual domains of MYC-family proteins have been demonstrated to form stable structures when complexed with interacting proteins, most prominently the bHLH-LZ domain of MYC in complex with MAX (25). Although the structure of MYCN in complex with MAX has not been solved itself, it is thought to be highly homologous to the MYC-MAX complex. Indeed, MYC-MAX disrupters have been identified and these also disrupt the MYCN-MAX interaction, further supporting the concept that MYC family proteins interact with MAX in a highly similar fashion (33). The structure of other domains of MYC-family proteins have been solved in complex with other interacting proteins, including KPNA1 (importin-α), BIN1, WDR5, TBP, and AURKA (19,24,26,27,34-36). These examples clearly demonstrate that MYC-family proteins can assume ordered states in the context of multi-protein complexes, providing a potential avenue for applying the tools of modern structure-based drug discovery.

Although targeting MYCN in a structured complex with an interacting protein has clear appeal, determining which interacting protein(s) to choose is challenging. The identity of the full complement of MYCN-interacting proteins has only recently been catalogued. This has not allowed for a substantial narrowing of focus, however, as interactome profiling has identified
hundreds of proteins that can complex with MYC-family proteins (28,29). This large number strongly suggests that there is not a single MYCN complex, but rather a number of different complexes that may differ in function and in their contribution to tumorigenesis and tumor maintenance and that change in composition throughout the cell cycle and across cell types or tumor types. Comparison between MYC and MYCN binding proteins has revealed large numbers of common interactors, but also many MYCN-unique interactors.

Prior to undertaking laborious structural biology and drug discovery campaigns against a given target, the MYCN-interacting protein complex should first be demonstrated to be essential for tumor maintenance in an appropriate in vivo model and not required for survival of normal cells. Such models and the appropriate genetic manipulation tools have only recently become available. For example, human neuroepithelial stem (NES) cell-based models provide one potentially appealing approach for this type of validation (37). NES cells can be stably cultured and are amenable to gene editing technology. MYCN expression can be introduced, which results in medulloblastoma after orthotopic implantation (38), and similar models of neuroblastoma are also under development. A moderate number of interacting proteins can now be screened via genetic loss-of-function approaches to prioritize those that (when lost) result in tumor regression in MYCN-driven tumors but do not impede the growth of analogous MYC-driven tumors or of untransformed NES cells. Although alternative models could be used for validation of the centrality of a given interacting protein to MYCN’s oncogenic function, this type of genetic validation is essential prior to initiating further drug discovery efforts and that appropriate models are now available or will soon be available.

Limitations to obtaining structures of MYCN in complex

Although structures of individual domains of MYC proteins have been identified in complex with interacting proteins (see discussion above), limitations in structural biology have
made it difficult to obtain more extensive structural information about MYC complexes. All of the three central techniques used in structural biology (X-ray crystallography, nuclear magnetic resonance [NMR], and cryo-electron microscopy [cryo-EM]) are likely to have value and play complementary roles in obtaining the structural information about MYCN complexes needed to aid drug development. However, recent advances in the ability to use cryo-EM to obtain structures of complexes of a variety of sizes is particularly important and is likely to make obtaining conformational data on oncogenic MYCN interactions more feasible.

Two parallel approaches could be undertaken to obtain the structure of purified MYCN complexes. The first, similar to what has been done previously (26) including with AURKA (19), is to map interaction domains using either NMR or cross-linking and mass spectroscopy, and then to use this information to pursue crystallographic determination of substructures of the MYCN complex. The advantages of this approach include identification of physiologically relevant MYCN interactions that might be of lower affinity, and a possibility of obtaining high resolution structures of such complexes. However, an interaction requiring a large portion of MYCN and/or requiring multiple interactors may be difficult to probe using crystallography. Cryo-EM offers an alternative that can provide Ångström-level resolution of multi-protein complexes that are unlikely to crystallize in their full-length form, such as transcriptional complexes (39). These techniques can be used in a complementary fashion, with cryo-EM contributing an overall structure of the complex and X-ray crystallography or NMR focusing on smaller stable sub-complexes. Structural insights from these complementary techniques can then be incorporated to provide higher resolution views of individual side chains in domains that may be less well visualized by cryo-EM. Lower affinity interactions can be stabilized by cross-linking of nearby residues. These different modalities have been useful in the examination of complexes involving intrinsically disordered proteins like MYC, for example with the FACT complex (Facilitates Chromatin Transcription) (40,41). In addition, cryo-EM has successfully identified structures of
such proteins when they form ordered structures in complex (42), though NMR has been applied more widely.

In addition to playing a role in the determination of structures of known MYCN complexes, advances in cryo-EM may also offer an opportunity to identify novel complexes. Graphene oxide (GO) covered cryo-EM functionalized with affinity tags (43) can be used to purify transient/lower affinity MYCN complexes from tumors or cell lysates directly on the cryo-EM grid. In addition to providing structural information simultaneously on multiple different MYCN complexes, computational advances in cryo-EM analysis can potentially allow for the determination of previously unidentified proteins in these complexes (44).

While multiple approaches could be pursued in parallel, the ultimate goal should be both to solve atomic resolution structures of oncogenic MYCN complexes that will be suitable for structure-based drug development and to obtain purified protein complexes that can be used for inhibitor screens. Given the described technological advances, this is now a much more achievable goal.

**Challenges in inhibitor screening and advances in degrader technology**

Identifying small molecules that bind to transcription factors like MYC proteins is a substantial hurdle. Even if an effective binder is identified, a traditional therapeutic requires that the compound also interferes with protein function, a formidable challenge for a protein lacking enzymatic activity and functioning through protein-protein and protein-DNA interactions. However, the advent of proteolysis-targeting chimeras (PROTACs, also referred to as chimeric targeting molecules) (45) over the past 5 years has rendered ‘undruggable’ targets such as MYCN potentially druggable. Rather than requiring a specific inhibitor of MYCN’s function, it may be sufficient to identify a MYCN-specific or MYCN complex-specific binder that can be
linked to an E3 ubiquitin ligase ligand to drive the rapid ubiquitination and degradation of MYCN. In addition to requiring only a binder (and not an inhibitor), this approach also may allow for enhanced specificity through choice of the E3 ligase that is engaged by the PROTAC. For example, tissue-specific expression of E3 ligases has been described (46), hence recruiting an E3 ligase that is only expressed in tumor cells or neural cells could enhance tumor-specific activity and therapeutic index. Alternatively, by engaging only an E3 ligase with expression limited to MYCN-high cells, a molecule that binds both MYC and MYCN could be made into a \textit{de facto} MYCN-specific degrader. One potential drawback of a degrader approach is that downregulation of the E3 ligase provides an additional potential resistance mechanism. For this reason, it is important to choose an E3 that is essential to tumor maintenance or to simultaneously apply ligands for multiple different ligases.

Importantly, adopting a degradation approach shifts the challenge in MYCN targeting to the identification of a molecule that specifically binds to MYCN or the MYCN oncogenic complex, with little or no binding to MYC or MYC complexes. Advances in small molecule screening technology have also made this challenge easier to address. Fragment-based screening can identify low affinity binders that can then be evolved into high affinity lead compounds using structure-guided compound “growing” or by linking fragments together (47). If high-quality structures are available, advances in computational docking can identify synthetically accessible potential binders (48). Particularly promising is the advent of DELs that have allowed hundreds of millions to billions of drug-like compounds to be rapidly screened for affinity against proteins or protein complexes of interest (49). Ideally, a systematic approach could be taken to use DELs to 1) identify binders to MYCN and several essential MYCN complexes validated \textit{in vivo} as critical for tumorigenic functions of MYCN, followed by 2) hit resynthesis and binding validation, then 3) linkage to a number of different E3 ligase ligands, and 4) analysis of the effect of the compounds on MYCN protein or complex stability. Such a
comprehensive screening and follow up campaign may exceed the capacity of academic investigators, but there are companies that are well-tooled to perform such experiments and may be willing to participate in novel types of public-private partnerships.

Once validated binders and degraders are identified, structures incorporating the MYCN complex, the compound, and the appropriate E3 ligase can be solved in order to rationally optimize both binding moieties as well as the linker, in addition to making modifications to enhance predicted pharmacological properties.

**Rigorous validation and characterization of compound activity and molecular diagnostic-linked early phase clinical trials**

Even if putative inhibitors of MYCN or a MYCN oncogenic complex had been identified previously, properly modeling their efficacy and specificity would have been a substantial challenge. However, there are now available a range of genetically defined models, both *in vitro* and *in vivo*, to validate potential inhibitors or degraders. Cell lines that are functionally dependent upon MYCN or MYC can both be used to demonstrate MYCN-specific degradation and growth inhibition, with the caveat that changes in expression in tissue culture of the E3 and of competing E3 substrates may influence specificity. Ideally, compounds advanced to *in vivo* testing for efficacy should demonstrate nanomolar potency, several-fold MYCN selectivity, and undergo pharmacokinetic testing, including blood-brain barrier penetration analysis. The latter is essential to understand how the drug might be used in patients with brain tumors, as well as neuroblastomas that can metastasize to the central nervous system.

For *in vivo* testing, both patient-derived xenograft models (PDXs) and genetically engineered mouse models (GEMMs) are now widely available for the relevant diseases. Extensive PDX models of neuroblastoma, medulloblastoma, and ATRTs (50-52) have the
advantages of being human cells with human MYCN and MYCN interactors, of providing sufficient diversity to model genetic heterogeneity among MYCN-driven tumors, and of providing MYC-driven tumors that can be used as controls. While in autochthonous neuroblastoma and medulloblastoma GEMMs MYCN-interacting proteins are murine, non-germline GEMMs utilizing human cells enable models in which interacting proteins are human. The GEMMs also do not provide as much heterogeneity as PDXs. However, given the long-appreciated role of MYCN in suppressing antigen presentation and creating an immune-depleted tumor microenvironment (53-55), it is essential to profile alterations in immune interactions upon MYCN depletion to understand the possible engagement of the adaptive immune system and how this might be enhanced. Orthogonal preclinical development of drug candidates will be essential for prioritizing the optimal drug(s) for early phase clinical trials.

Advances in molecular diagnostics should allow for more precise early phase clinical trials. Patients can be selected that have tumors with clear hyperactive MYCN signaling, both through copy number changes and transcriptional profiling. Response can be followed over time both through traditional imaging modalities as well as through detection of MYCN in cell-free circulating tumor DNA (56). Lastly, patients on early phase clinical trial typically have suffered multiple relapses and received extensive immunosuppressive therapy. If pre-clinical testing demonstrates that drug efficacy depends on intact immunity, it may be necessary to incorporate immune function criteria into early phase trials.

Conclusions

Driver transcription factors such as MYCN historically represent ‘undruggable’ targets. For MYCN, this has been due to limitations in understanding the biochemistry and structural biology of MYCN complexes, the inability to model those complexes in vivo, and the difficulty in identifying small molecule inhibitors of non-enzymatic proteins like MYCN. No single advance,
but rather progress on all of these fronts suggests that it is time to revisit a direct targeting approach, particularly in light of the continued failures of indirect approaches to produce an effective therapeutic. Here we describe how developments in MYCN biology, structural biology (especially cryo-EM), drug screening, modeling of pediatric cancers in mice, and PROTAC/degrader technology have made direct targeting of MYCN a practical and feasible goal, which we expect will produce an important new therapeutic for several devastating childhood tumors.
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Figure 1: Highlighted challenges and technologic advances in identification and validation of a direct MYCN targeting compound. Biochemically-derived MYCN interactomes have recently revealed a large number of potential complexes to target and are contrasted to MYC interactomes (not shown). Which complexes are essential to tumor maintenance can now be identified using genetic loss-of-function testing in appropriate in vivo models (e.g. neural epithelial stem [NES] cell and patient-derived xenograft [PDX] models). Once oncogenic complexes are identified, these can be purified and used in structural studies, aided by advancements in cryo-EM technology. Challenges to the identification of small molecule inhibitors can be addressed by using a bind and degrade approach. DEL screens can facilitate the identification of binders unique to MYCN complexes as compared to MYC complexes. These compounds can then be linked to E3 ligase ligands to create proteolysis-targeting chimeras (PROTACs), optimized using structure as a guide, and tested in cell line and mouse models to confirm degradation, ensure anti-tumor activity, and determine selectivity. Once active and selective PROTACs are identified, they can be prioritized for IND-enabling studies and eventual clinical testing. Interactome modified from http://pennlab.ca/research/#, protein structure from the PDB (https://www.rcsb.org/structure/5G1X), and drawing tools from motifolio.com.
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